## **FISCAL NOTE**

3-year average enrollment to calculate

Title:

community college reversions **Status:** As Amended, House Education **Primary Sponsor:** Matthews, G Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Sponsor signature Date Date Fiscal Summary FY 2004 FY 2005 **Difference** Difference **Expenditures:** \$0 \$0 **Revenue: Net Impact on General Fund Balance:** \$0 \$0  $\boxtimes$ Significant Local Gov. Impact **Technical Concerns** Included in the Executive Budget Significant Long-Term Impacts Dedicated Revenue Form Attached Needs to be included in HB 2

## **Fiscal Analysis**

Bill #:

**HB0023** 

## **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- 1. The Executive budget (EB) does not recommend any reversion language for the community colleges for the 2005 biennium. Therefore, no reversion is necessary if enrollment projections are not met.
- 2. Using a "3-year average" of FTE resident enrollments would be used during a period of declining resident enrollments. This calculation would result in a smaller reversion from the Montana University System (MUS).

## **TECHNICAL NOTES:**

- 1. The EB anticipates 2,322 and 2,369 resident FTE in FY 2004 and FY 2005 for the community colleges. The marginal share, or state share, contained in the EB is \$2,491 and \$2,441 per student, for these two fiscal years.
- 2. The bill has been amended in the House Education Committee to include an immediate effective date. It is not anticipated that the immediate effective date will trigger 17-7-142 (3) MCA, resulting in a smaller reversion for the community colleges for FY 2003. Enrollment numbers are not finalized until after the end of Spring semester, however FY 2003 enrollment projections are anticipated to be greater than FY 2003 budgeted enrollment in House Bill 2 from the previous legislature.